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Abstract- Voice over Internet protocol (VOIP) or IP Telephony is a technology that acts as an alternative approach to voice communication over Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN). It supports the transmission of voice by encapsulating and routing voice packets over Internet Protocol. Its main objective is to cut off the cost for making long distance telephone calls. This paper discusses the overview, issues and challenges of VoIP and presents the comparative performance analysis of VoIP using EMODE-1 codec with respect to QoS metrics Packet Delivery Ratio, End-End-Delay, Packet Dropping Probability, Throughput, and Residual Energy. It compares three MANET routing protocols AODV, DSR, OLSR and analysis shows that OLSR is moderate than DSR and AODV with respect to QoS metrics End-end-delay and Packet Dropping Probability, supporting high residual energy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

MANET is a network of mobile nodes such as Laptop, sensors, etc., interfacing without centralized infrastructure (Access point, bridges, etc.). Mobile nodes move in and out of the network randomly. The topology and link between nodes changes frequently. This link breakage causes packet loss and latency problem in the network and it degrades the performance. Each node in MANET acts both as a host and as a router to forward messages. VoIP has been identified as a widely accepted technology since the emergence of Voice and data network into single unit. VoIP communication over mobile network involves four steps namely, 1. Call Setup or establishing communication between caller and called node, 2. Data processing or data transfer between caller and called node. 2. To setup call and to terminate the call it requires a signaling protocol [1]. 3. To encode and decode on the sender and receiver side, it uses Codec. 4. To transfer voice data and to manage it over the media it requires media protocol. It uses popular signaling protocols include SIP, H.323, etc., and media protocols include Real Time Transport Protocol (RTP), RTP Control Protocol (RTCP). These RTP packets are encapsulated into transport protocols to transmission over IP. UDP is the most preferable protocol for transferring voice over IP network. Quality of Service (QoS) is set of service requirements that are met by the network while transferring packet streams from source to destination. It is a significant component to improve the performance of VoIP flows. Metrics for QoS could be defined in terms of one or set of parameters. Examples: delay, bandwidth, packet loss, delay-jitter, etc. Each metric has constraints based on which routing protocols should react. The violation of the constraints of these metrics degrades the performance of VoIP application to an unacceptable level especially for the metrics End-end-delay and Packet loss. Protocols can be classified as single constraint or multiple constraints based on the idea of whether they support single or multiple constraints. Savithri et al [11] presents the detailed classification of QoS constraints based routing protocols in MANET.

CHALLENGES OF VOIP SYSTEM

The main objective of the VoIP system is to deliver voice with the same quality as it is produced by the caller. Hence the key issues of VoIP system are end-end delay or latency, Delay Variance (Jitter), Packet Loss. Preventing voice packets from packet loss can be accomplished by providing an advanced queue management approach that monitors the incipient congestion over the line and drops the incoming packets prior to the performance of VoIP.

Another important issue is reducing end-end delay or latency. Latency is the time taken by the packet to reach from caller to callee. For each packet the latency or end-end delay is calculated and it is compared with the maximum life time of the packet to know whether the voice packet has its life time within acceptable limit or not. If the packet crosses its lifetime then it is discarded before reaching the callee without affecting the quality of VoIP packet as in [2].

Preventing voice packets from intruder or providing a secured communication between the caller and callee.

Reducing the time taken to set up call between the caller and callee. This is more challenging issue in case of mobile VoIP systems where session setup latency is affected by mobility parameters and threshold. This can be reduced by prefetching location information of mobile nodes from location server and if the callee is present at the same location, communication is
established. Otherwise a time out event occurs and
the conventional session set up is made between the caller
and the callee[3].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II we
overview related work. In Section III, we discuss the
routing protocols. Section IV describes the features of VoIP
simulation methodology. Section IV discusses the
simulation results and comparative analysis in terms of
QoS metrics using XGraph. Finally, we conclude the paper
in Section VI.

II. VOIP OVER MANET

Figure 1 shows the block diagram involved in the
communication of VoIP system as discussed in [4]. Each
speech source is an alternating sequence of talking and
silence period and it is exponentially distributed. It
undergoes a digitalization process that includes sampling,
quantization and encoding. First step involved in voice
communication is to convert the analog signal to digital
signal using voice codec on the sender side. It compresses
the voice data without affecting its quality. It is packetized
and encapsulated into IP packets and passed over IP
network. The receiver on the other side has play out buffer
to store voice packets; it is depacketized and decoded using
codec which does compression/decompression of voice
packets to preserve the bandwidth of the network.

![Fig 1. VoIP System Block Diagram](image)

Sumit Mahajan et al. [5] discussed the performance of VoIP
over MANET with scalability using routing protocols and
concluded that the overall performance of OLSR is better
for small and large networks and the performance of
AODV is poor for high populated network. Jae-Yul Yoon
et. al. [6] discussed about a new method of improving the
speech quality of VoIP by assigning a priority to each
packet at the edge router of a DiffServ-based network in an
environment prone to packet loss. [7] proposed two MOS
measurement procedures for mobile devices which are use
for measuring the quality of VoIP flows. In the PESQ MOS
measurement procedure, a lightweight real-time table
lookup solution significantly reduced the computation time
of PESQ MOS from 315.4 s to 3 s. In the E-model MOS
measurement procedure, MOS value can be accurately
computed in 5.35 s. These results indicated that the
proposed approaches can effectively provide the real-time
VoIP quality measurement. Arlen Nascimento et. al. [8]
discussed on the security of VoIP call by establishing calls
between mobile stations in BSS and PICONET and
concluded that QoS tool based on E-Model is the efficient
tool for providing secured VoIP call in BSS and PICONET
environment. [9] discussed about the performance of VoIP
using four routing protocols AODV, DSR, OLSR and
TORA over Hybrid MANET and concluded that the overall
performance of OLSR is best compared to other three
routing protocols.

III. ROUTING PROTOCOLS

Routing is a core part of MANET in which communication
takes place using protocols of different nature. Routing in
MANET is broadly classified into three types, Proactive or
table driven, Reactive or On-Demand, Hybrid. In case of
proactive routing, routing information is static and it is used
to discover the route. Reactive routing discovers route
dynamically to route packet. Proactive routing is best for
network that supports low mobility or where the
transmission is frequent. Examples of proactive routing
protocols are DSDV, OLSR(Optimized Link State Routing).
Reactive/On-demand routing Protocols include
AODV( Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Routing)
(DSR(Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm),
Dynamic Source Routing (DSR)

DSR uses source routing as the key feature. That is, sender
knows the complete hop-by-hop route to the destination.
This information is stored in route cache. Data packets
carry the source route in the header of the packet. When a
source sends packets to the destination for which the route
is not known, it uses route discovery process to determine
the route dynamically. Route discovery works by flooding
the route request (RREQ) packets. Each node receiving
RREQ, broadcasts it to other nodes if it is not the
destination. If the receiving node is the destination, it
replies to RREQ with RREP packets. The route carried by
RREP is stored at route cache for further use.

Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Routing (AODV)

AODV is an improvement of DSDV and DSR. It is reactive
in nature and uses routing table that has one entry per
destination. An important feature of AODV is that a routing
entry which is not recently used is expired. That is, if the
routes are not used for some period of time, they are
considered to be no longer valid and the corresponding
entries are removed from the table. Another important
feature is that it notifies route breakage to its neighbors [5].
It uses the concept of route discovery and route
maintenance of DSR and the concept of sending periodic
hello messages and sequence numbers from DSDV.
Sequence numbers are used to determine whether routing
information is up-to-date and to prevent routing loops.
When a source wants to communicate to some destination,
the protocol starts route discovery by sending a route
request message to its all its neighbours. The neighbour
node on the active path sends route reply message to the
route request message initiator. A unique id is assigned, to
avoid duplicate route request message. When a node
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receives, it will check this id and the address of the initiator and discarded the message if it had already processed that request. Node that has information about the path to the destination sends route reply message to the neighbour from which it has received route request message. When a route reply message reaches the source it can start sending data packets.

**Optimized Link State Routing (OLSR)**

It is a proactive routing protocol that minimizes flooding overhead by selecting nodes called Multi Point Relay nodes (MPRs) to transmit Topology control (TC) packets in an optimized way. This reduces the retransmissions required to flood a message to all nodes. The TC packet contains list of his neighbors which enables all nodes to update their routing tables. OLSR uses also periodic broadcast of hello packets at one hop to sense the neighborhood of a node, to verify the symmetry of a radio link, and to select the MPR nodes. It requires only partial link state to be flooded to select shortest path between nodes. The minimal set of link state information is required for each MPR node to declare their links to their MPR selectors. The protocol reduces the time taken for transmitting periodic control message. It maintains routes between all destinations in the network. Hence the protocol is best suited for large and dense networks.

**IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

The performance of the above discussed routing protocols during the transmission of voice is simulated using NS-2. The QoS metrics include the parameters such as Packet Delivery Ratio, Packet Dropping Probability, Throughput, End-end-delay, Routing overhead. The following table shows the simulation parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Routing Protocols</td>
<td>AODV, OLSR, DSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC Layer</td>
<td>802.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Size</td>
<td>512 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrain Size</td>
<td>800X800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodes</td>
<td>50-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Model</td>
<td>Random Waypoint model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Traffic</td>
<td>TCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Time</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codec used</td>
<td>EMODE-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Packet Delivery Ratio:** It is the ratio of the packets received by destination to those generated by the sources. TCP traffic type is used by source. It specifies the packet loss rate, which limits the maximum throughput of the network. The routing protocol which has better PDR is more complete and correct. This reflects the usefulness of the protocol.

\[
\text{PDR} = \frac{\text{Number of received packets}}{\text{Number of sent packets}}
\]

**Throughput**

It is the number of packets passing through the network in a unit of time. It is measured in kbps.

**Packet Dropping Probability**

The number of data packets that are not successfully sent to the destination are known as dropped packets. There are various packet dropping schemes available in wired network to reduce packet loss and to improve the performance of queue management as discussed in [10]. In MANET, it is still in its early stage.

**Average End-End Delay**

Average End-to-end delay is the average time delay for data packets from the source node to the destination node.
To find out the End-to-end delay the time difference of packet sent and received was stored and then dividing the total time difference over the total number of packet received gives the average End-end delay for the received packets. The performance of the protocol is better when packet End-to-end delay is low.

\[
AED = \frac{\sum (\text{time received} - \text{time sent})}{\text{Total data packets received}}
\]

Residual Energy

Mobile nodes in MANET work with battery power. Energy is consumed by the node as they move and transfer and receive data. Residual energy is the energy remaining at node after a particular data transfer. The following graph shows that the residual energy of OLSR is high, medium for DSR, low for OLSR.

Table 2. Performance of AODV, OLSR, DSR for voice data transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routing Protocol</th>
<th>AODV</th>
<th>DSR</th>
<th>OLSR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Delivery Ratio</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Dropping Probability</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End-end-delay</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Energy</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION

From the analysis and graphical results, the following is concluded as final result. With respect to End-end-delay of VoIP, the performance of AODV is best. With respect to Packet dropping Probability, Packet Delivery Ratio and routing overhead of VoIP, the performance of DSR is best and as far as throughput is concerned, the performance of DSR is moderate and it is better for OLSR. Since for voice data transfer, QoS can be improved by reducing packet loss and End-end-delay, the protocol that satisfies the constraints of these two metrics are known to be best. From the resulting analysis it is clear that DSR has to be improved for VoIP flows to support reduced End-end-delay, Packet Dropping Probability of AODV has to be improved. The performance of OLSR is medium for both End-end-delay and Packet Dropping Probability, supports high residual energy. The next step for the future work would be to modify OLSR protocol in VoMAN environment to improve the performance of VoIP flows using EMODE-1 Codec.
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