Abstract—This paper describes a color image compression technique based on an iteration-free fractal image coding proposed using Simulated Annealing and Genetic algorithm. This proposed technique using the SA and GA methodology. Decrease the search complexity of finding the self-similarities in the test images. It is reduces the coding processing time by optimal search which minimize the intensive computation tasks. In this paper, we propose a parallel compression algorithm. That the parallel processing proposed method using GA and SA achieves best image quality and reduce the computing time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

This Fractal image coding has been used in many image processing applications such as feature extraction [1], image signature [2], image retrieval [3, 4] and texture segmentation [5]. The fractal coding technique for image compression and has evolved greatly from its first version given by Jacquin [6-13]. Fractal image compression significantly improve the image quality, providing better compression than transform coders [14]. The true color bench mark image, each color component (R, G, and B) is usually quantized with 8-bits, so a color is specified by 24 bits per pixel. To transmit or store a color image huge bandwidth or storage space is required. In gray scale image there is a high correlation between the nearest pixels. In color image, in addition to this, there is also a high correlation between the color components. The straightforward way to compress color image is to compress each of the color components separately using gray scale compression technique.

In the fractal coding schemes, an image is partitioned into non-overlapping range blocks. The larger domain blocks D are selected from the same image which can overlap. A color image is encoded by mapping the domain block D to the range block R with the contractive affine transformation given by Eq. (1)

\[ \hat{R} = i [\alpha.D + \mu_R - \alpha.D_i + \mu_R] = i [\alpha.(D - \mu_R) + \mu_R] \]  

(1)

The parameters (called the fractal code) describing the contractive affine transformation, which has the minimum matching error between the original range block R and the coded range block \( \hat{R} \), are transmitted or stored. The fractal code consists of the contrast scaling \( \alpha \), the block mean (the average pixel value of the range block) \( \mu_R \), isometry \( i \), and the position \( P_D \) of the best-match domain block in the domain pool.

The fractal image compression problem puts forward three major requirements: speeding up the compression algorithm, improving image quality and increasing compression ratio [15, 16]. An iteration-free fractal image coding using the technique Genetic Algorithm is proposed for lossy compression in this research work to improve decoded image quality, compression ratio and to reduce the coding time. Usage of synthetic codebook for encoding using Fractal does not require iteration at decoding and the coding error is determined immediately at the encoder. Hence there is a reduction in decoding time. Very few literatures are available on iteration-free fractal coding. Genetic Algorithm tries to emulate biological evolutionary processes to solve optimization problems. Instead of searching one point at a time, they use multiple search points. Thus, they claim significant advantage of large reduction in search space and time. Optimal fractal coding is an NP-hard combinatorial optimization problem. So this technique is applied in this research work for fractal image compression.

In the elitist model for image compression of GA, the knowledge about the best string obtained so far is usually preserved within the population and the same is used in the proposed technique. The method of simulated annealing [17, 18] is a technique that has attracted significant attention as suitable for optimization problems of large scale because it is one of the algorithms that had an explicit strategy to avoid local minima. The following elements must be provided for the implementation of SA algorithm

- A description of possible system configurations
- A generator of random changes in configuration
- An objective function F whose minimization is the goal of the procedure
- An annealing schedule- an initial temperature (control parameter) and rules for lowering it as the search progresses.

The proposed method uses a simpler classification system for range blocks. SA/GA is used in finding the appropriate domain block with appropriate transformation for rough type range block in the iteration free fractal coding.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the architecture of the proposed iteration free fractal coding using SA and GA methods presented. Section 3 explains the algorithm for the proposed methods. Section 4 describes the implementation of the proposed GA-based and SA-based iteration free fractal coding. In the final conclusions are provided.

II. ARCHITECTURE OF ITERATION FREE FRactal IMAGE CODING USING GENETIC ALGORITHM/SIMULATED ANNEALING

The architecture of the proposed method in iteration-free fractal coding is described in Fig.1. The encoder for GA and SA is given in Fig.2 and Fig.3 and the decoder in fig.4. The encoder sends the image for compression. In the preprocessing stage, the input MxN image under coding is divided into nonoverlapping square blocks of BxB pixels called the range blocks. The encoding takes place in the following steps.

The encoding procedure can be summarized in the following steps.

Step 1: The mean $\mu_R$ and variance $V_R$ of each range block $R(i,j)$ is determined. A mean image of size $M/B \times N/B$ with each pixel corresponding to the block mean is generated. The mean image must be larger than the size of the range block i.e. $M/B \times N/B > B \times B$.

Step 2: The mean image is divided into blocks of the same size as the range block ($B \times B$ pixels) to form the domain pool.

Step 3: If the variance of the range block is smaller than the threshold value $E$, then the range block (smooth block) is coded by the mean, otherwise, the range block (rough block) will be coded by the fractal code $f(i, \alpha, \mu_R, P_D)$ using SA/GA technique. Here $i$ represents the isometry transformations, $\alpha$ the contrast scaling, $\mu_R$ the mean value of the range block and $P_D$ the domain block number in the domain pool.

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_R & \quad \text{if } V_R \leq E \\
= f(i, \alpha, \mu_R, P_D) & \quad \text{if } V_R > E 
\end{align*}
\]

A. Algorithm of the Encoder for the Proposed Iteration-free Fractal Image coding using GA

The algorithm of the proposed iteration-free fractal image coding using GA is given as follows:

Step 1: Generate Knuth random numbers globally $R(i, j)$

Step 2: Partition the given image into range blocks $X$ of size $B \times B$ and find the mean and variance of each $X$.

Step 3: Plot the mean image using the mean of $X$ as the pixel value and partition this mean image into blocks of size $B \times B$ to form the domain pool. Decide on the length of the chromosomes, crossover probability, mutation probability, population size and number of iterations.

For each range block $X$:

Step 4: If variance ($X$) < $E$ assign 0 to label and $\mu_x$ to code.

Else assign 1 to label. Generate the initial population. Calculate the RMS value of $X$ and each population. Store the values. Compute the next iteration using the roulette wheel selection procedure and apply crossover and mutation probability. Calculate the RMS value of $X$ and each population. For each iteration, use elitism to restore the previous best solution. Return the fractal code of the minimum RMS values after the last iteration.

B. Algorithm of the Encoder for the Proposed Iteration-free Fractal Image coding using SA

The algorithm of the proposed iteration-free fractal image coding using SA is given as follows:

Step 5: Start by generating an initial solution $s$ (randomly) and by initializing the control parameter $T$.

Step 6: Then the following is repeated until the termination condition is satisfied:

Step 7: A solution $s'$ is randomly sampled and it is accepted as new current solution depending on $f(s)$, $f(s')$ and $T$.

Step 8: $s'$ replaces $s$ if $f(s') < f(s)$ or, in case $f(s') \geq f(s)$, with a probability following the Boltzmann distribution $\exp(-(f(s') - f(s))/T)$.

C. Algorithm of the Decoder GA

The decoding process is as follows:

Step 1: Extract the mean information of each range block from the fractal codes and construct the mean image.

Step 2: The domain pool is obtained by partitioning the mean image using the same size as the range block for GA.

Step 3: For smooth blocks, the decompressed image blocks are obtained by the mean value and for rough blocks apply contractive affine transformation using the fractal codes.

D. Algorithm of the Decoder SA

The decoding process is as follows:

Step 4: Extract the mean information of each range block from the fractal codes and construct the mean image.

Step 5: The domain pool is obtained by partitioning the mean image using the same size as the range block for SA.

Step 6: For smooth blocks, the decompressed image blocks are obtained by the mean value and for rough blocks apply contractive affine transformation using the fractal codes.

The outputs of the decoder are image blocks that are combined to form the decoded image at the receiver end. The receiver gets the fractal codes as input and the decompressed image as output.
III. ALGORITHM FOR ENCODING AND DECODING

In the proposed method a synthetic codebook is created as the domain pool using the mean image, whose pixel values are the block means of all the range blocks. This code book is used as the domain pool for SA/GA technique.

A. Encoder Using GA

In the proposed method a synthetic codebook is created as the domain pool using the mean image, whose pixel values are the block means of all the range blocks. This code book is used as the domain pool for genetic algorithm technique. The architecture of the proposed method is described in Fig 1.

![Fig.1 Architecture of the Proposed Iteration-Free Fractal Image Coding Method](image)

The sender sends the color image for compression. In the preprocessing stage, the input MxN image under coding is divided into non-overlapping square blocks of BxB pixels called the range blocks. Then the mean and variance of each range block is determined. For each range block the red, green and blue component’s mean and variance are computed and then concatenated. After the mean of all the range blocks are obtained, a mean image of size M/B x N/B with each pixel corresponding to the block mean is generated.

The mean image must be larger than the size of the range block i.e. M/B x N/B > B x B. The maximum size of B is limited to 8 in order to produce a good quality of the decoded image. The higher the resolution of the input image (MxN) more blocks can be generated for the domain pool which helps to find a good mapping between the domain and range blocks. The initial domain pool with each pixel corresponding to the block mean is generated.

The number of possible domain blocks to be searched is (M/B²) x (N/B²), the number of isometry transformations to be searched for each domain block is eight and the contrast scaling parameter is four for each RGB color components. Thus, the space to be searched consists of N1 elements for each RGB color components. N1 = 8 x 4 x (M/B²) x (N/B²).

Let the space to be searched for each RGB color components be represented by P where

\[ \text{Binary strings are introduced to represent the elements of } \mathbb{P} \]

[5] [10]. The set of \( 2^n \) binary strings, each of length \( n \) for each RGB color components, are constructed in such a way that the set exhausts the whole parametric space. The value for \( n \) depends on the values of \( M, N \) and \( B \). The fitness value of a string between the given range block and the obtained range block is taken to be the MSE given in Equ. 4. Let \( S \) be the population size and \( T \) be the maximum number of iterations for the GA. Note that the total number of strings searched up to \( T \) iterations is \( S \times T \). Hence, \( \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{X} \)

\[ \mathbb{P} = \{(1,2,\ldots,n)\} \times \{(1,2,\ldots,(M/B^2) \times (N/B^2))\} \]

provides the search space reduction ratio for each rough type range block.

\[ \text{MSE}(R_R) = \frac{1}{B^2} \sum_{0 \leq i,j < B} (R_{i,j} - \mu_R)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

B. Encoder using SA

In order to apply the SA method to a specific problem, one must specify the state space, the neighbor selection method (which enumerates the candidates for the next state \( s' \)), the probability transition function, and the annealing schedule. These choices can have a significant impact on the method’s effectiveness. At each step, the SA heuristic considers some neighbors of the current state \( s \), and probabilistically decides between moving the system to state \( s' \) or staying back in state \( s \). The probabilities are chosen so that the system ultimately tends to move to states of lower energy. Boltzmann’s Probability is used in the proposed method. In the present problem the neighbor of a state is any domain block from the domain pool. Another essential feature of the SA method is that the control
parameter is gradually reduced as the simulation proceeds. Initially, $T$ is set to a high value (or infinity), and it is decreased at each step according to some annealing schedule — which may be specified by the user, but must end with $T=0$ towards the end of the allotted time budget. Cooling schedule $T_i = T_0 - i (T_0 - T_N) / N$ is used in the proposed method. $T_i$ is the temperature for cycle $i$, where $i$ increases from 0 to $N$. The initial and final temperatures, $T_0$ and $T_N$ respectively, are determined by the user, as is $N$. In the proposed method the value of $N$ is chosen to be 40. The probability of making the transition to the new state $s'$ is a function $P(\delta E, T)$ of the energy difference $\delta E = E(s') - E(s)$ between the two states, and of a global time-varying parameter $T$ called the control parameter. In the proposed method $T$ is made to vary from 1 to 0. The architecture of the encoder using GA method is described in Fig.3.

In the decoder, shown in Fig. 4 the mean information of each range block is extracted from the fractal codes. Using this information the mean image is constructed. This mean image is partitioned into blocks of the same size as the input image. This forms the domain pool for GA/SA search methods. The decompressed image is constructed block by block by applying the transformation parameters to the selected domain block from the domain pool as per the code.

C. Decoder Using GA/SA

In the decoder, shown in Fig. 4 the mean information of each range block is extracted from the fractal codes. Using this information the mean image is constructed. This mean image is partitioned into blocks of the same size as the input image. This forms the domain pool for GA/SA search methods. The decompressed image is constructed block by block by applying the transformation parameters to the selected domain block from the domain pool as per the code.

The threshold value for the variance of range blocks was chosen by trial and error basis to be of size 20 for block size 8x8, 10 for 4x4 and 5 for 2x2 that results in good compression ratio and PSNR. The number of blocks in the mean image is the size of the domain pool.

The range block with a single size (8x8, 4x4 & 2x2) was considered for simulation. Here the total number of range blocks for the block size 4x4 for each RGB color component was $n = 16384$ and total number of domain blocks (m) to search for each RGB color component were $(128 / 4) \times (128 / 4) = 32 \times 32$. Thus, the cardinality (N1) of the search spaces for each RGB color component of this case was $8 \times 4 \times 1024$. The string length $n$ for each RGB color component was taken to be $15 (3 + 2 + 10)$. Hence, the search space reduction ratio was approximately 14.

These algorithms were implemented using the software Matlab 7.12 Parallel processing and Image processing tool on the Intel (R) Core(TM)2 E7500 system with 2.93 GHz and 1.96 GB of RAM. For implementation of these algorithms, four 512 x 512 benchmark color images of Lena, Pepper, Cauliflower and Tajmahal [shown in Figure 5 (a) to (d)] with twenty four-bit RGB color resolution were used. In the simulation, the images were partitioned into range blocks with the single size, either 8x8 or 4x4 or 2x2. The maximum block size is set to 8x8 because for a range block size greater than 8x8 the determination of the proper domain block was difficult and the quality of the image reconstructed was poor.

The coding performance of the proposed method using the parameters like decoded image quality, bit rate and encoding time was determined. For the image partitioned by 8x8, 4x4 and 2x2 range blocks, the 64x64, 128x128 and 256 x 256 mean image for Lena was obtained and shown in Figure 6 (a), (b) and (c) respectively.
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(a) Lena

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/50x50)

(b) Pepper

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/50x50)

(c) Cauliflower

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/50x50)

(d) Taj Mahal

Fig 5. Original (512 X 512, 24 Bit/Pixel) Images.
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(a) 64x64

(b) 128x128

(c) 256x256

Fig 6. Mean Image of Lena for block size 8x8, 4x4 and 2x2
V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The range blocks were classified before coding. Range blocks were grouped into two sets according to the variability of the pixel values in these blocks. If the variability of a block was low, i.e., if the variance of the pixel values in the block was below a fixed value, called the threshold, the block is called smooth type range block. Otherwise, it is called a rough type range block. The purpose of choosing this block classification was for two reasons. One is to get higher compression ratio, and the other is to reduce the coding time. The threshold value that separates the range blocks into two types was chosen as stated earlier. After classification, GA/SA-based coding was adopted for the rough type range blocks only. All the pixel values in a smooth type range block were replaced by the mean of its pixel values. This scheme is a time-saving one provided; the number of smooth type range blocks is significant. The storage requirements for the proposed method can be calculated from the number of smooth and rough blocks multiplied by the number of bits required to store the values. Table I and II gives the classification of blocks, coding time, bit rate and compression ratio.

Fig 7 (a), (b) and (c) shows the decompressed Lena image using the proposed method for a single level partition of size 8x8, 4x4 and 2x2. The RMS of the decoded image partitioned by the 8x8 block size is higher than that partitioned by the 4x4 and 2x2 block size since a smaller block size leads to a smaller matching error for the affine transformation. However, the bit rate increases significantly because the number of the 2x2 range blocks is four times the number of the 4x4 range blocks and number of the 4x4 range blocks is four times the number of the 8x8 range blocks. The compressed image of Pepper, Cauliflower and Taj Mahal for the single block partition of sizes 8x8, 4x4 and 2x2 using SA are shown in Fig.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Bit Rate</th>
<th>Compression Ratio</th>
<th>No of Range Blocks</th>
<th>Encoding Time Serial</th>
<th>Encoding Time Parallel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>43927</td>
<td>21611</td>
<td>110330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>8394</td>
<td>7992</td>
<td>63871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>49.82</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>2539</td>
<td>31250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>51409</td>
<td>14129</td>
<td>77985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>9851</td>
<td>6535</td>
<td>52157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>50.16</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>36276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>49433</td>
<td>16105</td>
<td>84643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>8640</td>
<td>7746</td>
<td>50683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>50.36</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>2416</td>
<td>34054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taj Mahal</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>44672</td>
<td>20866</td>
<td>110470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>6624</td>
<td>9762</td>
<td>64737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>47.73</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>31250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I Classification of Blocks Compression Ratio, encoding time And Bit Rate on the Chosen Images Using SA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Bit Rate</th>
<th>Compression Ratio</th>
<th>No of Range Blocks</th>
<th>Encoding Time Serial</th>
<th>Encoding Time Parallel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>43927</td>
<td>21611</td>
<td>123750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>8394</td>
<td>7992</td>
<td>17739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>49.82</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>2539</td>
<td>17784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>51409</td>
<td>14129</td>
<td>11363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>9851</td>
<td>6535</td>
<td>14248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>50.16</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>17076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>49433</td>
<td>16105</td>
<td>17989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>8640</td>
<td>7746</td>
<td>13016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>50.36</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>2416</td>
<td>20484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taj Mahal</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>44672</td>
<td>20866</td>
<td>19789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>6624</td>
<td>9762</td>
<td>25991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>47.73</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>28842</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II Classification of Blocks Compression Ratio, encoding time And Bit Rate on the Chosen Images Using GA
Table III gives the RMS and PSNR using different types of encoding using the proposed technique on the color images chosen for simulation. From the results tabulated in Table 1, it is observed that for the images which have the number of smooth blocks significantly high has a high compression ratio.

Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Range Block size</th>
<th>SA RMS</th>
<th>SA PSNR</th>
<th>SA MSE</th>
<th>GA RMS</th>
<th>GA PSNR</th>
<th>GA MSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>31.38</td>
<td>47.30</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>36.73</td>
<td>13.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>27.27</td>
<td>121.73</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>52.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>23.92</td>
<td>263.18</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>27.58</td>
<td>113.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>60.11</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>35.03</td>
<td>20.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>154.17</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>55.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>19.41</td>
<td>22.36</td>
<td>376.98</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>26.34</td>
<td>150.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>25.55</td>
<td>180.93</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>64.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>338.61</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>26.39</td>
<td>149.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 * 8</td>
<td>22.72</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>516.27</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>23.28</td>
<td>305.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajmahal</td>
<td>2 * 2</td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>29.19</td>
<td>78.30</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>36.81</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 * 4</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>24.55</td>
<td>227.84</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>59.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.9 Comparison performance of GA and SA RMS and PSNR

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, our encoding scheme to apply an improved fast-encoding algorithm for fractal image coding is proposed and implemented using SA/GA for still color image. The proposed algorithm has the better performance in terms of image quality, bit rate and coding time for RGB image. Only the encoding consumes more time but the decoding is very fast. Applications where images can be stored in a compressed form, which require faster retrieval, like medical images and photographs for identification can use the proposed method. The execution time can be further reduced by implementing the proposed method in parallel for encoding Data compression is used primarily in transmission and storage of information. Image transmission applications are in broadcast television, remote sensing via satellite, military communication via aircraft, radar and sonar, teleconferencing, computer communication, facsimile transmission and the like. Image storage is required for educational and business documents, medical images, digital radiology, motion pictures, satellite images, weather maps, geological surveys, and so on.
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