

VIBE: Background Detection and Subtraction for Image Sequences in Video

K.Kavitha

*IT Department, Aurora's Technological and Research Institute, JNTUH
Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh-500035, India*

A.Tejaswini

*M.Tech(WT), Aurora's Technological and Research Institute, JNTUH
Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh -500035 India*

Abstract: In this paper presents a technique for motion detection that incorporates several innovative mechanisms. For example, our proposed technique stores, for each pixel, a set of values taken in the past at the same location or in the neighborhood. It then compares this set to the current pixel value in order to determine whether that pixel belongs to the background, and adapts the model by choosing randomly which values to substitute from the background model. This approach differs from those based upon the classical belief that the oldest values should be replaced first. In this future enhancement of paper is remotely we are checking and providing the security to our system. So whenever user getting SMS from server system and the background image is updated whenever the system is detecting a motion.

Keywords: Remote based technique, Background subtraction, computer vision, image motion analysis, image segmentation, learning (artificial intelligence), pixel classification, real-time systems, surveillance, vision and scene understanding, video signal processing.

1. INTRODUCTION

This work describes a new 3D cone-shape illumination model (CSIM) and a robust background subtraction scheme involving shadow and highlight removal for indoor-environmental surveillance. Foreground objects can be precisely extracted for various post-processing procedures such as recognition. Gaussian mixture model (GMM) is applied to construct a color-based probabilistic background model (CBM) that contains the short-term color-based background model (STCBM) and the long-term color-based background model (LTCBM). STCBM and LTCBM are then proposed to build the gradient-based version of the probabilistic background model (GBM) and the CSIM. In the CSIM, a new dynamic cone-shape boundary in the RGB color space is proposed to distinguish pixels among shadow, highlight and foreground. Furthermore, CBM can be used to determine the threshold values of CSIM. A novel scheme combining the CBM, GBM and CSIM is proposed to determine the background. The effectiveness of the proposed method is demonstrated via experiments in a complex indoor environment. The capability of extracting moving objects from a video sequence is a fundamental and crucial problem of many vision systems that include video surveillance [1,2], traffic a monitoring [3], human detection and tracking for

video teleconferencing or human-machine interface [4, 5, 6], video editing, among other Applications. Typically, the common approach for discriminating moving object from the background scene is background subtraction. The idea is to subtract the current image from a reference image, which is acquired from a static background during a period of time. The subtraction leaves only non-stationary or new objects, which include the objects' entire silhouette region. The technique has been used for years in many vision systems as a preprocessing step for object detection and tracking [for examples, [1, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9]. The results of the existing algorithms are fairly good; in addition, many of them run in real-time.

However, many of these algorithms are susceptible to both Global and local illumination changes such as shadows and Highlights. These cause the consequent processes, e.g. tracking, recognition, etc., to fail. The accuracy and efficiency of the detection are very crucial to those tasks. This problem is the underlying motivation of this work. We want to develop a robust and efficiently computed background subtraction algorithm that is able to cope with the local illumination change problems, such as shadows and highlights, as well as the global illumination changes. Being able to detect shadows is also very useful to many applications especially in "Shape from Shadow" problems [10, 11, 12, 13]. Our method must also address requirements of sensitivity, reliability, robustness, and speed of detection.


In this paper, we present a novel algorithm for detecting moving objects from a static background scene that contains shading and shadows using color images. In next section, we propose a new computational color model (brightness distortion and chromaticity distortion) that helps us to distinguish shading background from the ordinary background or moving foreground objects. Next, we propose an algorithm for pixel classification and threshold selection. Experimental results and sample applications are shown in Section 4 and 5 respectively.

2. COLOR MODEL

One of the fundamental abilities of human vision is color Constancy [14]. Humans tend to be able to assign a constant color to an object even under changing of illumination overtime or space. The perceived color of a point in a scene

depends on many factors including physical properties of the point on the surface of the object. Important physical properties of the surface in color vision are surface spectral reflectance properties, which are invariant to changes of illumination, scene composition or geometry. On Lambertian, or perfect matte surfaces, the perceived color is the product of illumination and surface spectral reflectance. This led to our idea of designing a color model that separates these two terms; in other words that separates the brightness from the chromaticity component. Illustrates the proposed color model in three-dimensional RGB space. Consider a pixel, i , in the image; let $E_i = [ER(i); EG(i); EB(i)]$ represent the pixel's expected RGB color in the reference or background image. The line OE_i passing through the origin and the point E_i is called expected chromaticity line.

3. THE SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE OF MOTION DETECTION:



A Background Subtraction

The basic scheme of background subtraction is to subtract the image from a reference image that models the background scene. Typically, the basic steps of the algorithm are as follows:

- Background modeling constructs a reference image
- Representing the background.
- Threshold selection determines appropriate threshold values used in the subtraction operation to obtain a desired detection rate.
- Subtraction operation or pixel classification classifies the type of a pixel i.e., the pixel is a part of background(including ordinary background and shaded background),or it is a moving object.

We recall from the previous lecture, that clustering allows for *unsupervised learning*. That is, the machine / software will learn on its own, using the data (learning set), and will

classify the objects into a particular class – for example, if our class (decision) attribute is tumor *Type* and its values.

	Curvature	Texture	Blood Consump	Tumor Type
x1	0.8	1.2	A	Benign
x2	0.75	1.4	B	Benign
x3	0.23	0.4	D	Malignant
x4	0.23	0.5	D	Malignant



	Curvature	Texture	Blood Consump	Tumor Type
x1	0.8	1.2	A	Benign
x2	0.75	1.4	B	Benign
x3	0.23	0.4	D	Malignant
x4	0.23	0.5	D	Malignant

(learning set)


We describe our approach to segmenting moving objects from the color video data supplied by a nominally stationary camera. There are two main contributions in our work. The first contribution augments Zivkovic and Heijden's recursively updated Gaussian mixture model approach, with a multidimensional Gaussian kernel spatio-temporal smoothing transform. We show that this improves the segmentation performance of the original approach, particularly in adverse imaging conditions, such as when there is camera vibration. Our second contribution is to present a comprehensive comparative evaluation of shadow and highlight detection approaches, which is an essential component of background subtraction in unconstrained outdoor scenes. A comparative evaluation of these approaches over different color-spaces is currently lacking in the literature. We show that both segmentation and shadow removal performs best when we use RGB color spaces.

We consider the case of a nominally static camera observing a scene, such as is the case in many visual surveillance applications, and we aim to generate background/foreground segmentation, with automatic removal of any shadows cast by the Foreground object onto the background. In real applications, cameras are often mounted metal poles, which can oscillate in the wind, thus making the problem more difficult. This problem is also addressed in this paper.

To segment moving objects, a background model is built from the data and objects are segmented if they appear significantly different from this modeled background. Significant problems to be addressed include (i) how to correctly and efficiently model and update the background model, (ii) how to deal with camera vibration and (iii) how to deal with shadows. In this paper our contributions are a spatio-temporal filtering improvement to Zivkovic's recursively updated Gaussian mixture model approach [1], and a comprehensive evaluation of shadow/highlight detection across different color spaces, which is currently lacking in the literature. We also present quantitative results of our complete foreground/background segmentation system with shadow removal in several real-world scenarios. This is valuable to those developing pragmatic visual surveillance solutions that demand a high quality foreground segmentation.

A robust visual segmentation system should not depend on careful placement of the camera; rather it should be robust to whatever is in its visual field, whatever lighting effects occur or whatever the weather conditions. It should be capable of dealing with movement through cluttered areas, objects overlapping in the visual field, shadows, lighting changes, effects of moving elements of the scene (e.g. camera vibration, swaying trees) and slow-moving objects. The simplest form of the background model is a time-averaged background image. However, this method suffers from many problems, for example it requires a large memory and a training period absent of foreground objects. Static foreground objects during the training period would be considered as a part of background. This limits their utility in real time applications.

A Gaussian mixture model (GMM) was proposed by Friedman and Russell [2] and it was refined for real-time tracking by Stauffer and Grimson [3]. The algorithm relies on the assumptions that the background is visible more frequently than any foreground regions and that it has models with relatively narrow variances. The system can deal with real-time outdoor scenes with lighting changes, repetitive motions from clutter, and long-term scene changes. Many adaptive GMM model have been proposed to improve the background subtraction method since that original work. Power and Schooners' [4] presented a GMM model employed with a hysteresis threshold. They introduced a faster and more logical application of the fundamental approximation than that used in the paper [5]. The standard GMM update equations have been extended to improve the speed and adaptation of the model [6][7]. All these GMMs use a fixed number of components. Zivkovic et al. [1] presented an improved GMM model adaptively chooses the number of Gaussian mixture components for each pixel on-line, according to a Bayesian perspective. We call this method the Zivkovic-Heijden Gaussian mixture model (ZHGM) in the remainder of this paper.



Another main challenge in the application of background subtraction is identifying shadows that objects cast which also move along with them in the scene. Shadows cause serious problems while segmenting and extracting moving objects due to the misclassification of shadow points as foreground. Prati et al. [8] presented a survey of moving shadow detection approaches. Cucchiardi et al. [9] proposed the detection of moving objects, ghosts and shadows in HSV colour space and gave a comparison of different background subtraction methods.





Fig1.Startt the Application



Fig2.capture images




Fig 3. Captured images



Fig 4. Send SMS

4. DISADVANTAGES IN OTHER TECHNIQUES:

Computation time is more, in terms of frame rates.

In Spatial temporal scaling algorithm, it is applicable to scenes with highly dynamic backgrounds.

At the cost of processing time (several seconds per frame) which makes it unsuitable for real time applications. It takes shadow detections.

In Bayesian histogram, it will save several pixel values (for colors) in the system. It will compare current frame to stored pixel value. Some times the value will not match accurately then problem arises

5. CONCLUSION:

A technology of background subtraction for real time monitoring system was proposed in this paper. The obvious keystone of my work is studying the principle of the background subtraction, discussing the problem of the background, and exploring the base resolve method of the problem. Experiment shows that the method has good performance and efficiency. Future enhancements alert the user sending multimedia SMS by using GSM (global system for mobile communication) Modem. So then it is very efficiently find out unauthorized person.

REFERENCES:

[1] O. Barnich and M. Van Droogenbroeck, "ViBe: A powerful random technique to estimate the background in video sequences," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech Signal Process.*, Apr. 2009, pp. 945–948.

[2] M. Van Droogenbroeck and O. Barnich, Visual Background Extractor p. 36, Jan. 2009, World Intellectual Property Organization, WO 2009/007198.

[3] A. McIvor, "Background subtraction techniques," in *Proc. Image Vis. Comput.*, Auckland, New Zealand, Nov. 2000.

[4] R. Radke, S. Andra, O. Al-Kofahi, and B. Roysam, "Image change detection algorithms: A systematic survey," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 14, pp. 294–307, Mar. 2005.

[5] Y. Benezeth, P. Jodoin, B. Emile, H. Laurent, and C. Rosenberger, "Review and evaluation of commonly-implemented background subtraction algorithms," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Pattern Recognit.*, Dec. 2008, pp. 1–4.

[6] S. Elhabian, K. El-Sayed, and S. Ahmed, "Moving object detection in spatial domain using background removal techniques—State-of-art," *Recent Pat. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 1, pp. 32–54, Jan. 2008.

[7] M. Piccardi, "Background subtraction techniques: A review," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Syst., Man Cybern.*, The Hague, The Netherlands, Oct. 2004, vol. 4, pp. 3099–3104.

[8] D. Parks and S. Fels, "Evaluation of background subtraction algorithms with post-processing," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Adv. Video Signal Based Surveillance*, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Sep. 2008, pp. 192–199.

[9] T. Bouwmans, F. El Baf, and B. Vachon, "Statistical background modeling for foreground detection: A survey," in *Handbook of Pattern Recognition and Computer Vision (Volume4)*. Singapore: WorldScientific, Jan. 2010, ch. 3, pp. 181–199.

[10] M. Seki, T. Wada, H. Fujiwara, and K. Sumi, "Background subtraction based on cooccurrence of image variations," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit.*, Los Alamitos, CA, Jun. 2003, vol. 2, pp. 65–72.

[11] P. Power and J. Schoonees, "Understanding background mixture models for foreground segmentation," in *Proc. Image Vis. Comput.*, Auckland, New Zealand, Nov. 2002, pp. 267–271.

[12] N. Oliver, B. Rosario, and A. Pentland, "A Bayesian computer vision system for modeling human interactions," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 831–843, Aug. 2000.

[13] D.-M. Tsai and S.-C. Lai, "Independent component analysis-based background subtraction for indoor surveillance," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 158–167, Jan. 2009.

[14] H.-H. Lin, T.-L. Liu, and J.-C. Chuang, "Learning a scene background model via classification," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 1641–1654, May 2009.

[15] L. Maddalena and A. Petrosino, "A self-organizing approach to background subtraction for visual surveillance applications," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1168–1177, Jul. 2008.

[16] V. Cevher, A. Sankaranarayanan, M. Duarte, D. Reddy, R. Baraniuk, and R. Chellappa, "Compressive sensing for background subtraction," in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis.*, Oct. 2008, pp. 155–168.



K.Kavitha received her Masters in Computer Application in 2005 from Sri Padmavathi Mahilla Viswavidyalayam, Tirupati and M.Tech(CSE) in 2010 from JNTU, Hyderabad. Her area of expertise includes Data mining, Computer Networks, Object oriented concepts and cloud computing .She is working as Senior Assistant Professor in department of Information Technology at Aurora's Technological and Research Institute, Hyderabad.



A.Tejaswini is pursuing M.Tech in Web Technologies from Aurora's Technological and Research Institute, JNTUH, A.P, INDIA. Her research areas include image processing, data mining, and database security.